The Science of Setting Goals That Last

6 MIN READ

DEC. 22, 2025

BY HEATHER HURLOCK

What decades of research reveal about setting goals built to last (Hint: It’s about process and adaptation)

January has a certain gravity. A sense that this is when things are decided. When momentum is claimed. When the year begins to bend in one direction or another.

But beneath the cultural noise of resolutions and reinvention, there’s a more durable question worth asking first: *What do I actually want to practice this year?*

A growing body of research suggests that how you orient yourself mentally before committing to a goal may matter more than the goal itself.

A systematic review and meta-analysis published in ***International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*** examined decades of research on goal setting and performance. The researchers analyzed 27 experimental studies to understand which types of goals improve outcomes and which ones quietly undermine them. The answer: It’s about the process.

The Science of Setting Goals That Last

Most goals collapse for predictable reasons. They generate pressure without guidance. They demand change without offering a way to practice it. They ask for results before the foundational system is ready.

- **The Weakest Goals:** The study found that goals centered on outcomes (like winning, hitting a number, achieving a milestone) produced the weakest results overall. They didn't meaningfully improve performance and often failed to support the psychological states that sustain effort over time, like self-efficacy (the belief in your own ability to succeed). Even specific, highly-detailed goals weren't necessarily the 'strongest'; researchers found no difference between setting a specific target and simply having a non-specific intention to 'do your best'.
- **The Strongest Goals:** What did work consistently were process goals. These focus on actions and behaviors: how you train, how you prepare, and how you recover. Process goals define success by execution rather than outcome. The researchers found that process-oriented goals led to the largest improvements in performance and the strongest gains in self-efficacy, as opposed to those that were outcome-oriented.
- **Bonus:** The ability to adapt and self-regulate, the ability to monitor and adjust your own behavior, shapes what happens after the initial surge of motivation fades. It determines whether setbacks feel like useful information or personal failure, and whether effort becomes reinforcing or quietly depleting.

A New Definition of Discipline

One of the most important mechanisms identified in the research was self-regulation. Goals that increased a person's sense of agency were more likely to improve both performance and psychological resilience. The people who were most successful became their own coaches. Rather than relying on willpower, they used a cycle of practice, observation, and adjustment. This approach gave them the psychological "traction" to keep improving over time.

This matters deeply because it changes the definition of discipline. Goal setting shouldn't be a rigid contract you sign with yourself; it should be a system that works with your nervous system. When you focus on self-regulation, you aren't just trying to "do better;" you are gaining the psychological "traction" to change your strategy when

a specific path isn’t working.

Process-oriented goals are the engine of this system because they anchor effort in actions that are repeatable and observable. They shift attention away from constant, draining self-evaluation (*Am I failing? Am I behind? Is this working fast enough?*) toward execution and adaptation. In this framework, “failure” isn’t a final judgment; it’s just feedback that tells you to adjust your process. Over time, this reduces cognitive friction and builds self-efficacy through evidence and experience rather than just positive self-talk.

Feedback Turns Intention Into Intelligence

Another finding from the meta-analysis is especially relevant for January: goals only improved outcomes when feedback was present. Without feedback, even well-designed goals lost their power.

Feedback doesn’t have to mean metrics, dashboards, or constant tracking. It means having a way to notice what’s happening as you practice: What’s getting easier? What’s resisting? What feels sustainable? What feels forced?

And this is where calibration comes in.

January is an ideal time to assess patterns rather than prescribe outcomes. To notice how your body responds to stress. How your energy fluctuates across the day. Which habits restore you and which quietly tax you. These observations become the feedback that allows goals to evolve intelligently instead of rigidly. That feedback can be as simple as a brief note to yourself or a few sentences captured at the end of the day.

The goal isn’t to prove consistency; rather, it’s to stay in relationship with the process long enough for it to teach you something.

Why Goals Fail Before They Begin

Interestingly, the study found that long-term goals on their own didn't significantly improve performance. But when paired with short-term, process-focused goals, they became useful.

This suggests a more nuanced role for big vision: Long-term goals help set direction, process goals create traction, and feedback keeps the system adaptive. Put simply:

Direction + Traction + Adaptation = Change That Lasts

Direction comes from long-term goals. Traction comes from process. Adaptation comes from feedback.

A smarter way to begin the year

If January has a job, it's to orient yourself to this systems approach to change.

Before committing to what you want to achieve, it's worth getting clear on:

- What kind of effort feels meaningful right now? (Direction)
- What can you practice consistently without friction? (Traction)
- What signals can you use to adjust along the way? (Adaptation)

When your goals are grounded in personal meaning, supported by feedback, and built for adaptation, you become an active participant in change, rather than a slave to its whims. And that's often the difference between a year that feels like it happened to you and one where you actually change how you show up.

*The information provided in this article is for educational and informational purposes only and is not intended as health, medical, or financial advice. Do not use this information to diagnose or treat any health condition. Always consult a qualified healthcare provider regarding any questions you may have about a medical condition or health objectives.**Read our disclaimers**.*

WRITTEN BY:



Heather Hurlock